

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,710	10/079,710 02/20/2002		Samuel Kerem	022002SK	7667	
21398	7590	10/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CORVIS C	-		WANG, LEMING			
		PROPERTY DEPARTMENT NSTEIN DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				
COLUMBIA	A, MD 2	210469400		2638		
				DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/079,710	KEREM, SAMUEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leming Wang	2638				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	ugust 2005.					
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-5 and 12-14 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-11 and 15-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-11 and 15-20 have been considered. Examiner does not agree with the arguments.

Applicant arguments: "Tsunikawa does not anticipate or render obvious the above claims for a number of reasons. For example, the above rejections are premised on the regulated power supply REG in Tsunikawa disclosing the overhead controller element in the claims. However, the REG does not anticipate or teach the overhead controller; in fact it performs quite the opposite function of the claimed overhead controller, " and "the regulated power supply REG does not disclose, teach, or suggest the overhead controller or even the functions performed by the overhead controller."

Examiner answer: In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., However, the REG does not anticipate or teach the overhead controller; in fact it performs quite the opposite function of the claimed overhead controller") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2638

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 6 –10, 15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Tsunikawa* (US Patent No: 4,639,924)

Regarding claims 6 and 15, *Tsunikawa* teaches that an optical source driver for driving an optical source, comprising: a current source (Tr14, Fig.6A) having an input (Emitter of Tr14, Fig.6A) connected to an output of the optical source (Output of Laser diode LD1 through P, Fig.6A); a DC-DC converter (Tr11, Fig.6A) having a power input (Collector of Tr11, Fig.6A), a power output (Emitter of Tr11, Fig.6A) connected to an input of the optical source (Input of Laser diode LD1 through P, Fig.6A), and a control input (Base of Tr11, Fig.6A); an operational amplifier (OP11, Fig.6A) having a first input (Negative input port of OP11, Fig.6A) connected between the optical source and the current source (Negative input port of OP11 is connected to P, Fig.6A), a second input (Positive input port of OP11, Fig.6A), and an output (Output port of OP11, Fig.6A) connected to the control input of the DC-DC converter (Output port of OP11 is connected to the base of Tr11 through R11, Fig.6A); and an overhead controller (REG1) having an input (Input of REG1, Fig.6A) and an output (Output of REG1, Fig.6A)

Art Unit: 2638

connected to the second input (Positive input port of OP11, Fig.6A) of the operational amplifier (OP11, Fig.6A).

Regarding claim 7, *Tsunikawa* teaches a control signal connected to the input of the overhead controller and wherein the current source has a second input (Collector of Tr14, Fig.6A) connected to the control signal (Control signal generated at alarm module 105 sent to collector of Tr14 and REG1 through Tr21, Figs.6A and 6B).

Regarding claim 8, 17, and 18, *Tsunikawa* teaches output of the overhead controller decreases as the control signal indicates increasing the output level of the optical (As output of REG1 decreases, the output of OP11 increases, which drives Tr11 output more current to LD1 to increase the optical output of LD1, Fig.6A).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, *Tsunikawa* teaches output of the overhead controller increases as the control signal indicates decreasing the output level of the optical (As output of REG1 increases, the output of OP11 decreases, which cause Tr11 output to decrease the driving current to LD1 and decrease the optical output of LD1, Fig.6A).

Regarding claims 10 and 20, *Tsunikawa* teaches overhead controller operates to produce a constant overhead power (When there is no alarm controlling signal generated, REG1 output is stable and optical output power of LD1 is a constant, Figs.6A and 6B; Col.7, lines 42-45).

Art Unit: 2638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsunikawa (US Patent No:4,639,924) in view of Gibbs (5,463,648)

Regarding claims 11 and 16, as it is understood in view of above 112 problem for claim 11, *Tsunikawa* differs from the claimed invention in that *Tsunikawa* does not teach a storage capacitor is connected to the output of the controllable DC power supply, and the input to the optical source that stores energy to be used to drive the optical source.

However, Gibbs from the same field of endeavor teaches how to use a capacitor connected to the output of power supply and the input of optical source (C22, Fig.1; Col.3, lines 59-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a capacitor, such as the one of Gibbs, been connected in between a power supply and a optical source in order to prevent an optical pulse from drooping in pulse forming operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1- 5, and 12-14 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2638

Conclusion

Page 6

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leming Wang whose telephone number is 571 272 3030. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571 272 3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

KENNETH VANDERPUYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2638

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leming Wang 10/18/2005